


# Cognitive Dissonance and Moral Injury in Shakespeare's Macbeth: A Psychological Study of Guilt, Trauma, and Identity Collapse

Seema Menon Rajesh 

English Language Skills Department (ELSD), AlKhaleej Training and Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: [seemamenonr@gmail.com](mailto:seemamenonr@gmail.com); [srajesh.c@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:srajesh.c@ksu.edu.sa)

## ABSTRACT

This study examines William Shakespeare's *Macbeth* through the lens of Leon Festinger's Cognitive Dissonance Theory to explore the psychological dynamics of guilt, trauma, and identity collapse. Existing scholarship has addressed ambition, guilt, and hallucinations separately; however, limited attention has been given to an integrated framework combining cognitive dissonance and moral injury. The study addresses this gap by employing close textual analysis of key scenes, including the dagger vision and Banquo's ghost, to validate how unresolved cognitive conflict shapes Macbeth's psychological decline. The findings reveal an evolution from guilt to anxiety, paranoia, emotional numbness, and ultimately identity collapse, through which repeated ethical violations intensify cognitive dissonance into moral injury. Hallucinations, herein, are interpreted as manifestations of unresolved psychological tension reflecting the mind's attempt to reconcile incompatible beliefs and actions. Additionally, the study contends that Shakespeare encodes psychological fragmentation through disrupted language, symbolic excess, and cognitive instability, hence anticipating modern understandings of trauma and moral injury. The paper underscores the contemporary relevance of *Macbeth* to mental health discourse, ethical leadership, and behavioral psychology while offering a unified psychological model of character disintegration.

## KEYWORDS

cognitive dissonance; hallucinations; identity collapse; Macbeth; psychological regression; trauma

## ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 11 April 2026

Revised 13 May 2026

Accepted 15 May 2026

## CITATION

Menon, S. (2025). Cognitive Dissonance and Moral Injury in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*: A Psychological Study of Guilt, Trauma, and Identity Collapse. *S3R Journal of English Language and Education*, 1(4), 46-55. <https://doi.org/10.70682/s3r.2025.01.044655>

## 1. Introduction

William Shakespeare's *Macbeth* offers an insightful probe of ambition, moral conflict, and psychological disintegration, making it one of Shakespeare's most psychologically complex tragedies. Previous criticism

Contact [Seema Menon](mailto:seemamenonr@gmail.com); [seemamenonr@gmail.com](mailto:seemamenonr@gmail.com); English Language Skills Department (ELSD), AlKhaleej Training and Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

@ 2025 S3R Trust

emphasized supernatural causality, political power, and moral allegory; however, contemporary scholarship increasingly foregrounds psychological instability, ethical conflict, and cognitive fragmentation (Al Khatib, 2020; Johnston, 2021). Thus, *Macbeth* emerges not merely as a tragedy of political ambition but as a sustained dramatization of internal psychological collapse in this context.

We have found themes, such as guilt, trauma, hallucination, and emotional instability in Shakespearean tragedy in the current studies (Shakur, 2024; Ridge, 2025). *Macbeth*'s visions, particularly the dagger hallucination and Banquo's ghost, are recurrently interpreted as manifestations of fractured consciousness and moral anxiety. Similarly, scholars probing ambition and ethical transgression claim that repeated violations of moral values destabilize emotional and cognitive balance, resulting in paranoia, anxiety, and psychological breakdown (Montague, 2022). In addition, studies on trauma and narrative psychology propose that literary texts often encode psychological suffering through symbolic structures, fragmented language, and disrupted perception (Dharani & Naidu, 2025). Nevertheless, most existing scholarships study guilt, trauma, hallucination, and moral conflict as isolated themes rather than interconnected stages within a unified psychological framework.

Despite the described growing interdisciplinary interest, very few studies have systematically integrated cognitive dissonance theory and moral injury in Shakespearean studies. Although cognitive dissonance explains the discomfort produced when beliefs and actions conflict, moral injury addresses the long-term psychological consequences of violating deeply held ethical principles. Current research hardly examines how these two processes interact to produce cumulative psychological deterioration and identity fragmentation in *Macbeth*. Thus, the mechanisms underlying *Macbeth*'s mental collapse remain insufficiently theorized.

This study intends to fill this gap by analyzing *Macbeth* through an integrated framework uniting cognitive dissonance and moral injury. It contends that *Macbeth*'s downfall results from unresolved tension between moral awareness and violent action, a conflict that increasingly intensifies into trauma, paranoia, emotional numbness, and identity collapse. Additionally, the paper proves how Shakespeare employs hallucination, fragmented syntax, and symbolic imagery as literary representations of cognitive instability and ethical disintegration.

The study has three main objectives:

1. To analyze the role of cognitive dissonance in shaping *Macbeth*'s moral conflict and decision-making
2. To examine how repeated ethical violations contribute to moral injury and psychological trauma
3. To explore the progression of guilt, hallucination, and identity fragmentation through close textual analysis of key scenes.

This research assimilates literary criticism with psychological theory to contribute to existing interdisciplinary scholarship and reinforces the continuing relevance of Shakespearean tragedy to discussions of trauma, mental health, empathy, and ethical consciousness (Devi & Bansal, 2025).

## 2. Literature Review

Scholarly interpretations of William Shakespeare's *Macbeth* have increasingly shifted from traditional moral and supernatural readings toward psychologically oriented analyses emphasizing the protagonist's inner conflict and mental instability (Al Khatib, 2020; Johnston, 2021). Contemporary critics contend that Shakespeare's tragedies depict complex interactions between desire, ethical awareness, and emotional disturbance. Although *Macbeth* is widely regarded as a tragedy of psychological disintegration, researchers differ in explaining the causes and progression of this collapse.

A significant body of research examines guilt, trauma, and moral conflict in *Macbeth*, arguing that the protagonist's downfall emerges from unresolved tension between ambition and ethical responsibility (Montague, 2022; Ali et al., 2025). These studies identify guilt as a destabilizing emotional force that intensifies anxiety and paranoia. Similarly, Nussbaum (2001) claims that emotions function as evaluative judgments, suggesting that *Macbeth*'s guilt reflects active moral cognition rather than passive emotional response. Trauma-oriented approaches further interpret *Macbeth*'s deterioration as a cumulative consequence of repeated ethical violations (Shakur, 2024; Ridge, 2025). However, many of these studies emphasize largely on the outcomes of moral transgression rather than the psychological mechanisms sustaining them.

Another body of scholarship examines hallucinations and psychological instability, mostly the dagger vision and Banquo's ghost. Johnston (2021) affirms that cognitive literary critics interpret these visions as externalizations of internal conflict rather than supernatural intervention. Koopman (2015) further suggests that literary narratives simulate mental processes, enabling readers to experience emotional and cognitive tension. Hallucinations, in *Macbeth*, therefore function not only as symptoms of disturbance but also as indicators of unstable perception and fractured judgment. Nevertheless, these studies often remain descriptive and do not fully connect such symptoms to a broader psychological framework.

Recent interdisciplinary scholarship has expanded the field by incorporating trauma theory, ethical criticism, and mental health discourse (Jeffrey, 2024; Turner-McMullan, 2024). These approaches strengthen the present-day relevance of *Macbeth*, particularly in relation to trauma, violence, and ethical instability. However, the relationship between internal conflict, emotional deterioration, and identity fragmentation remains too little integrated.

Thus, the present study undertakes this limitation by embracing a combined framework of cognitive dissonance and moral injury to examine *Macbeth*'s psychological trajectory. The study does not treat guilt, hallucination, and trauma separately, but analyses them as interconnected stages within a progressive pattern of psychological decline. Through close textual enquiry, the research proposes a more systematic explanation of *Macbeth*'s mental collapse and contributes to interdisciplinary literary scholarship.

## 2.1 Theoretical Framework

This study employs an interdisciplinary framework uniting Leon Festinger's Cognitive Dissonance Theory (1957) with the concept of moral injury to examine the psychological complexity of Shakespeare's *Macbeth*. Cognitive dissonance theory explains the psychological discomfort produced when actions conflict with personal beliefs or moral values. Individuals often attempt to reduce this tension through justification, rationalization, or behavioral adjustment. In *Macbeth*, this framework becomes expressly relevant because Macbeth's violent actions directly contradict his ethical awareness and social obligations. His repeated attempts to justify murder through prophecy and fate represent failed strategies of psychological reconciliation.

The concept of moral injury extends this study by addressing the long-term emotional consequences of ethical transgression. Moral injury emerges when individuals violate deeply held moral beliefs, producing guilt, shame, and disruption of identity. Cognitive dissonance explains immediate internal tension; conversely, moral injury emphasizes cumulative psychological damage. We find repeated acts of violence in Macbeth's case that gradually erode his moral consciousness, resulting in emotional numbness and existential detachment. Therefore, the assimilation of these two frameworks provides a process-oriented explanation of Macbeth's decline. Instant internal conflict increasingly develops into sustained trauma and psychological disintegration. This approach moves beyond isolated psychological readings and offers a more logical interpretation of Macbeth's mental deterioration.

From a larger viewpoint, the framework aligns with current developments in cognitive literary studies, which underline the relationship between emotion, cognition, and ethical awareness in literary interpretation (Johnston, 2021). The study combines psychological theory with close textual analysis to establish how Shakespeare represents moral conflict through cognitive instability, disrupted perception, and symbolic imagery.

## 3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, interpretive research design, which is well suited to examining complex psychological processes in literary texts. The analysis is grounded in the close reading method, a widely recognized approach in literary studies that facilitates detailed examination of language, imagery, and character psychology. This method enables a nuanced interpretation of how cognitive and emotional tensions are constructed and represented in Shakespeare's *Macbeth*.

The study focuses on selected textual units, including key scenes such as the dagger vision (Act II, Scene I), Banquo's ghost episode (Act III, Scene IV), and the final acts of the play. These scenes are selected based on their high psychological intensity, explicit representation of internal conflict, and relevance to themes of guilt, hallucination, and moral decision-making. In addition, particular attention is given to soliloquies and dialogues that reveal Macbeth's internal cognitive struggle, as these provide direct

access to the character's mental and emotional states.

The analytical procedure follows a systematic, multi-step approach. First, relevant passages are identified based on their engagement with moral conflict, cognitive tension, and psychological disturbance. Second, these passages are categorized according to key analytical dimensions, including cognitive dissonance (conflict between belief and action), moral injury (ethical violation and emotional impact), and psychological symptoms (hallucination, anxiety, paranoia). Third, a close textual analysis is conducted to examine linguistic features, imagery, and narrative structure, with particular focus on how these elements construct and reflect internal psychological processes. Finally, the findings are interpreted in relation to the integrated theoretical framework to trace the progression from cognitive conflict to trauma and identity collapse.

This methodological approach ensures analytical consistency, transparency, and coherence, allowing for a systematic connection between textual evidence and theoretical constructs. By combining close reading with psychological theory, the study provides a robust framework for understanding the interplay between cognition, emotion, and ethical conflict, making it particularly suitable for interdisciplinary literary-psychological analysis.

## **4. Analysis and Discussion**

### **4.1 Cognitive Dissonance and Moral Conflict in Macbeth**

Underlining cognitive dissonance and moral conflict, we find that Macbeth's tragic trajectory begins with intense internal conflict even before Duncan's murder. Shakespeare creates this tension through Macbeth's soliloquy in Act I, Scene VII, where he confesses the moral and political consequences of regicide: "He's here in double trust" (Act I, Scene VII). Macbeth clearly understands the ethical gravity of his contemplated action, yet he remains drawn toward it. This conflict between moral obligation and ambition reflects Festinger's concept of incompatible cognitions producing psychological tension.

The conflict intensifies through Macbeth's recognition of "Vaulting ambition, which o'erleaps itself / And falls on th' other" (Act I, Scene VII) shows his recognition that his desire to be king is unnatural, dangerous, and likely to cause his downfall. The reflexive structure suggests self-destructive excess, indicating that ambition destabilizes both action and judgment. Rather than resolving this tension ethically, Macbeth becomes increasingly vulnerable to external persuasion. Lady Macbeth's challenge, "When you durst do it, then you were a man" (Ibid), redirects his uncertainty toward anxieties about masculinity and identity. The conflict is therefore displaced rather than resolved.

Macbeth's psychological instability becomes most visible in the dagger hallucination: "Is this a dagger which I see before me?" (Act II, Scene I). The interrogative structure signals uncertainty, while the image of the dagger transforms abstract desire into physical action. The hallucination acts as a prognosis of unresolved internal tension rather than a purely supernatural event. Following Duncan's murder, Macbeth attempts to minimize guilt through rationalization. Lady Macbeth insists that "a little water clears us of this

deed” (Act II, Scene II), yet Macbeth immediately recognizes the permanence of the act: “Will all great Neptune’s ocean wash this blood / Clean from my hand?” (Act II, Scene II). The contrast between Lady Macbeth’s assertion that “a little water clears us of this deed” and Macbeth’s despairing question, “Will all great Neptune’s ocean wash this blood / Clean from my hand?” (Act II, Scene II) reveals the collapse of rationalization. Instead of relieving tension, Macbeth’s justifications intensify anxiety, insomnia, and paranoia.

Thus, Macbeth’s moral conflict develops into a self-intensifying psychological condition. Shakespeare presents this process as a progression from awareness to failed justification and finally mental instability. Macbeth’s tragedy ultimately arises from his inability to reconcile ethical awareness with violent action.

#### **4.2 Psychological Regression and Identity Collapse**

Macbeth’s mental state enters a phase of psychological regression marked by instability and erosion of selfhood after Duncan’s murder. Preceding explanations often treat hallucinations as symptoms of guilt alone; however, this study argues that they represent moments where internal conflict becomes psychologically uncontainable. The dagger vision, “Is this a dagger which I see before me?” (Act II, Scene I), reflects uncertainty between perception and imagination. The image transforms desire into action while simultaneously exposing cognitive instability.

This instability deepens after the murder. Macbeth’s fragmented statement, “Wake Duncan with thy knocking: I would thou couldst!” (Act II, Scene II), combines command with impossible desire, revealing psychological rupture. Similarly, the recurring blood imagery suggests that guilt exceeds symbolic containment. Unlike Lady Macbeth’s attempts at simplification, Macbeth cannot psychologically detach himself from the consequences of violence.

Macbeth’s deterioration gradually progresses from anxiety to paranoia and emotional exhaustion. His fear becomes obsessive in the statement, “Our fears in Banquo stick deep” (Act III, Scene I), where the verb “stick” suggests permanence and psychological penetration. This anxiety develops further during the banquet scene, where Banquo’s ghost externalizes suppressed guilt: “Never shake thy gory locks at me!” (Act III, Scene IV). The vision reflects not supernatural punishment but the return of unresolved psychological conflict. Repeated violence intensifies emotional desensitization rather than restoring control. Macbeth’s later declaration, “I have almost forgot the taste of fears” (Act V, Scene V), signals psychological depletion rather than recovery. By the final acts, meaning and selfhood collapse into nihilistic emptiness: “Life’s but a walking shadow” (Act V, Scene V).

Thus, Macbeth’s decline is best understood as cumulative mental deterioration driven by unresolved internal conflict. Shakespeare encodes this regression through fragmented language, symbolic excess, and unstable perception, revealing the gradual erosion of ethical and psychological coherence.

### 4.3 Psychological Implications

The preceding analysis demonstrates that Macbeth's psychological decline develops through escalating internal conflict, emotional instability, and moral exhaustion. Shakespeare represents this deterioration through hallucinations, fragmented language, and intrusive imagery that reflect a mind unable to achieve ethical or cognitive coherence.

From a psychological perspective, Macbeth's condition aligns with theories that interpret guilt as a form of moral judgment rather than a passive emotional response (Nussbaum, 2001). Macbeth continually reinterprets his actions, yet each attempt at justification deepens anxiety and psychological instability. His visions and compulsive expressions therefore function as projections of unresolved internal tension.

This condition further resembles trauma-related "acting out," where unresolved ethical violations repeatedly return in intrusive psychological forms (LaCapra, 2014). Macbeth's repeated violence intensifies rather than resolves his distress, gradually transforming moral awareness into emotional numbness and existential detachment. From a cognitive-literary perspective, Shakespeare not only represents psychological instability but also recreates it through disrupted syntax, symbolic imagery, and fractured perception. The play therefore functions as both a literary tragedy and a psychological study of moral disintegration.

## Conclusion

Building on the preceding analysis, this study demonstrates that Macbeth's decline reflects the destructive consequences of unresolved internal conflict. Shakespeare portrays how ethical violations generate sustained psychological distress manifested through anxiety, paranoia, emotional exhaustion, and cognitive instability. Rather than depicting guilt as temporary remorse, the play presents it as a progressive force that gradually destabilizes identity and moral coherence. Additionally, the play also offers important insights into leadership ethics and decision-making. Macbeth's rise to power through violence and moral compromise illustrates how ethical disengagement produces both personal instability and destructive governance. His inability to balance ambition with moral responsibility reflects concerns that remain relevant in contemporary political and institutional contexts.

Considering a behavioral psychology perspective, Macbeth's progression from hesitation to compulsive violence shows how repeated rationalization reinforces destructive behavioral patterns instead of resolving internal tension. Shakespeare represents this deterioration through fragmented language, hallucination, and symbolic imagery, which together reveal the protagonist's mental decline. We concede that the primary contribution of this study lies in proposing an integrated framework connecting cognitive conflict, moral injury, and identity fragmentation within a single interpretive model. Contrasting previous studies that examine guilt, trauma, or hallucination separately, this research demonstrates how these elements operate as interconnected stages of psychological deterioration.

Therefore, Macbeth emerges not only as a tragedy of ambition and power but also as a profound exploration of moral conflict and mental collapse. Shakespeare eventually reveals that the greatest danger lies not in ambition itself, but in the mind's inability to reconcile belief, action, and ethical responsibility.

### Acknowledgment

The author sincerely thanks the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and constructive feedback, which significantly improved the quality and clarity of this paper. Disclosure statementThe author states that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this article. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The study was conducted in accordance with accepted ethical standards for educational research.

### Declaration

The author confirms that this manuscript contains no plagiarism, data fabrication, or falsification. All ethical standards and guidelines relevant to educational research were fully observed throughout the study. Any use of AI tools was limited strictly to language editing, grammatical improvement, and formatting support. No AI tool was used to generate the study's original concepts, analyses, interpretations, or findings.

### About the Author

**Seema Menon Rajesh** is an experienced English Language Teacher at King Saud University (KSU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The author has a total of 18 years of teaching experience. Apart from masters in English language and literature, and a teaching certificate of CELTA, she also has a degree in Law. Currently, she is pursuing her PhD, in literature. ORCID: 0009-0005-4269-8990

### References

- Al Khatib, H. (2020). *Tragedies of the mind: Emotion, cognition, and motivation in four early modern tragedies* (Doctoral dissertation, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada).  
<https://carleton.scholaris.ca/server/api/core/bitstreams/dd06287d-f5eb-416b-9165-997372b84deb/content>
- Ali, A. H., AlHasan Abdulrahman Awad, A. A. A., & Safr, M. R. S. (2025). Women's complexity in Shakespearean tragedy: A case study of Macbeth and Hamlet. *Acta Globalis Humanitatis et Linguarum*, 2(3), 25-40. <https://doi.org/10.69760/aghel.0250020004>

Contact [Seema Menon](mailto:seemamenonr@gmail.com); [seemamenonr@gmail.com](mailto:seemamenonr@gmail.com); English Language Skills Department (ELSD), AlKhaleej Training and Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

- Booth, M. (2017). Shakespeare's poetry. In *Shakespeare and conceptual blending: Cognitive studies in literature and performance*. Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62187-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62187-6_4)
- Bradley, A. C. (2007). *Shakespearean tragedy: Lectures on Hamlet, Othello, King Lear, Macbeth* (Original work published 1904). Penguin Classics.
- Devi, S., & Bansal, S. (2025). Transformative power of storytelling in modern literature: Narrative, identity, and empathy. *S3R Journal of English Language and Education*, 1(4), 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.70682/s3rjele.2025.01.041222>
- Dharani, A., & Naidu, T. N. (2025). Anti-cathartic magical realism: A comparative study of trauma in Luvina and Pan's Labyrinth. *S3R Journal of English Language and Education*, 1(3), 57-71. <https://doi.org/10.70682/s3rjele.2025.01.035771>
- Greenblatt, S. (2004). *Will in the world: How Shakespeare became Shakespeare*. W. W. Norton.
- Jeffrey, D. I. (2024). How Shakespeare inspires empathy in clinical care. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-58661-3>
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Johnston, D. (2021). Shakespeare's phenomenology of time in *Macbeth*. *Shakespeare*, 17(4), 379-399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17450918.2021.1965648>
- Johnston, D. (2026). *Shakespeare and phenomenology: Theory and practice*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032720067>
- Koopman, E. M. (2015). Empathy and its role in literature. *European Journal of English Studies*, 19(1), 37-54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13825577.2015.1025795>
- LaCapra, D. (2014). *Writing history, writing trauma*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Montague, T. (2022). Shakespeare's ambivalence: Epistemological hesitation about the origin of evil. *Literature*, 2(4), 239-256. <https://doi.org/10.3390/literature2040020>
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2001). *Upheavals of thought: The intelligence of emotions*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ridge, K. (2025). *Post-traumatic stress disorder in Shakespeare*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003462613>
- Salter, M. G. (2025). The importance of comparing *Macbeth* and *Hamlet*. In *Hegel's relationship to Shakespeare's Hamlet and Macbeth*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-09446-9\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-09446-9_8)
- Shakespeare, W. (2005). *Macbeth*. Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1623)
- Shapiro, J. (2015). *The year of Lear: Shakespeare in 1606*. Simon & Schuster.
- Shaughnessy, R. (Ed.). (2012). *The Cambridge companion to Shakespeare and contemporary dramatists*. Cambridge University Press.
- Shakur, A. (2024). Shattered selves: Interpreting mental health through Shakespearean lens. *International Journal of Diverse Discourses (IJDD)*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11301349>

Turner-McMullan, C. J. (2024). "These signs forerun the death or fall of kings": Renegotiating masculinities and centrality in Shakespeare's second tetralogy through adaptation, direction and performance (Doctoral thesis, Bath Spa University). <https://doi.org/10.17870/bathspa.00016214>

*Disclaimer / Publisher's Note:* The views, opinions, and data presented in this publication are solely those of the author(s) and do not represent those of the S3R Journal of English Language and Education or its editor(s). The journal and editor(s) accept no responsibility for any harm or loss resulting from the use of any ideas, methods, or products discussed in the content.